		3	8	
		males-M)		
Hidler et al,	Yes	N =63 (Exp =33; Con =30)	Exp =Lokomat; Frequency =90 min x 3/	Speed =5-m walk test; Capacity =6-min Walk Test
200927		Age =Exp 59.9; Con =54.6	wk x 8-10/wk	Balance =BBS; Walking ability =FAC; Neurologic deficits =NIH Stroke
		Sex =M39/F24	Con =conventional gait training (static and	Scale; Motor impairment, level of disability =MAS, RMI, FAI; Quality
		Time since stroke (days) =24.9	dynamic postural tasks, trunk positioning,	of life =SF-36 Health Survey
			improving lower and upper extremity range	Cadence =Gait Rite at NRH (CIR Systems, Havertown, PA) or Gait Mat
			of motion, overground walking)	II at RIC (E.Q. Inc, Chalfont, PA)
Combs-Miller et	Yes	N =20 (Exp =10; Con =10)	Frequency =90 min x 3/wk x 8-10/wk Exp =BWSTT	Follow-up =0, 2, 4, 12 wk Speed = 10-m walk test (comfortable and fast): Capacity =6-min Walk
al, 2014 ⁵⁴		Age =Exp 56.2; Con =65.5	Frequency =30 min x 5/wk x 2/wk	Test; Spatiotemporal symmetry =GAITRite system; Activity and
		Sex =M11/F9	Con =overground gait training	participation =ICF Measure of Participation and ACTivity; Follow-up
Gama et al, 2017 ³²	Yes	Time since stroke (months) =61.15 N =28 (Exp =14; Con =14)	Frequency = 30 min x 5/wk x 2/wk Exp =BWSTT	=0, 2, 12 wk Speed =10-m walk test; Capacity =6-min Walk Test
		Age =Exp 58.7; Con =57.7	Frequency =45 min x 3/wk x 6/wk	Functional Independence =FIM; Lower extremity motor function =FMA;
		Sex =M13/F15	Con =overground gait training	Step length, step length symmetry ratio, single-limb support duration
		Time since stroke (months) =57	Frequency =45 min x 3/wk x 6/wk	=gait analysis system (VICON ^g); Follow-up =0, 6, 12 wk

RCS: Randomized controlled study, Exp: experimental group, Con: control group, BWSTT: body weight supported treadmill training, FAC: Functional Ambulation Category, FMA: Fugl-Meyer assessment scale, BBS: Berg Balance Scale, RMI: Rivermead Mobility Index, FIM: Functional Independence Measure, NIH: National

Institutes of Health, MAS: Motor Assessment Scale, FAI: Frenchay Activities Index.

 Table 3: Characteristics of studies indicating advantage of conventional gait training over equipment assisted gait training.

 References
 RCS
 Subject: N/Nean Ang/Sex/females.F/
 Interventions and training modelities
 Main outcomes measures