Management and investigation of viral gastroenteritis nosocomial outbreaks: lessons learned from a recent outbreak, Greece, 2012
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Abstract
Background: A nosocomial outbreak in a 740-bed hospital in Athens, Greece, was investigated in January-February 2012.
Methods: Recommendations on infection control measures were given and two case-control studies were conducted among patients (study A) and health care workers (HCWs) (study B). Compliance to control measures was evaluated.
Results: The absence of a routine recording system of nosocomial-acquired gastroenteritis cases led to a 10 days delay in outbreak identification. In total, 63 gastroenteritis cases were identified; 30 HCWs and 33 patients. In the multivariable analysis of study A the disease incidence among patients was statistical significantly associated with a prior incident of vomitus in their room (OR=7.96, 95% CI=1.29-49.2). In study B, the incidence was associated with the history of direct contact with a symptomatic patient (OR=3.03, 95%CI 1.01-9.12). Twenty one (75%) of the symptomatic HCWs reported absence from work for a median of 2 days (range: 1-4). Seven (25.0%) continued to work despite being symptomatic. Only, 11.1% of patients were isolated or cohorted after developing symptoms. In-hospital virological testing was not feasible and one specimen sent to a university laboratory was positive for norovirus.
Conclusions: An appropriately designed protocol regarding the detection, the management and the laboratory investigation of nosocomial gastroenteritis outbreaks should be followed in order effective containment to be reassured. Hippokratia 2014; 18 (3): 204-208.
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Introduction
Nosocomial viral gastroenteritis outbreaks have been increasingly documented the last years especially during winter and early spring1-4. The majority of such outbreaks is attributed to noroviruses; a genetically diverse group of viruses in the Caliciviridae family5 which are highly infectious, resistant to surface disinfectants and viable in the environment up to 12 days6,7. They are usually large and difficult to be contained and in most cases, the primary transmission route is person-to-person spread of the virus8-10. Even though viral gastroenteritis is usually mild, illness can be severe and prolonged in hospitalized patients especially immunocompromised, the elderly, and chronically-ill10-12.

On 23 January 2012 the Hellenic Center for Disease Control and Prevention (HCDCP) was informed about an outbreak of acute gastroenteritis among hospitalized patients and health-care workers (HCWs) in a large hospital in Athens. In this article, we present the main conclusions of the epidemiological investigation and management of the outbreak.

Methods
Outbreak setting
The outbreak occurred in a 740-bed, tertiary-care hospital in Athens, which consists of 15 buildings and 24 wards dispersed in an area of 428,000 m². The hos-
Hospital serves as a referral hospital providing services to a large number of patients, mainly elderly and patients with chronic pulmonary, cardiology, and oncology diseases.

Results of initial investigation and management

According to the physicians, symptoms were suggestive of viral gastroenteritis. Person-to-person transmission was suspected since cases had gradually derived from different wards of the same building and seemed to be dispersed. Stool specimens from gastroenteritis cases were bacteriologically tested but virological testing was not possible. Faecal samples were collected by all cases and were tested for Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp.

All wards were contacted for the retrospective identification of possible nosocomial-acquired cases since the beginning of the year and the head nurses were requested to daily report possible new cases among patients and personnel. Recommendations on infection control measures, such as hand hygiene, thorough sterilization of environment and equipment, and isolation and cohorting of symptomatic patients were given. Symptomatic HCWs were asked not to return to work for a minimum of 48 hours after the resolution of their symptoms.

Epidemiological investigation

Two 1:1 case-control studies were conducted among hospitalized patients and HCWs (case-control studies A and B, respectively). Gastroenteritis cases that occurred after January 1, 2012 were recorded. Cases were defined as patients or HCWs that presented vomiting and/or diarrhoea after their admission to the hospital and the bacteriological testing (for Salmonella spp. and Shigella spp.) was negative. Patients that arrived at the hospital with gastroenteritis symptoms, or developed such symptoms within the first 12 hours of hospitalization and patients whose symptoms were attributed to another illness or were considered drug-related were excluded.

Controls were defined as patients or HCWs without a history of the aforementioned symptoms for at least two days before the interview. In study A, controls were selected from the same wards as the cases via simple random sampling, using the hospital registries and a random number table. The control group for case-control study B was randomly selected using a list of HCWs of each ward. Cases and controls in this latter study were matched by professional identity (physicians, nurses, and paramedical staff).

The structured questionnaire for study A included demographic and clinical characteristics and possible risk factors in relation to in-hospital practices and exposures (exposure to a roommate’s vomitus or diarrhoea, patient’s transfers to/from other wards, isolation or cohorting of symptomatic patients and visits from friends or relatives with gastroenteritis symptoms). The questionnaire for study B included demographic and clinical characteristics, shifts and possible risk factors (contact with symptomatic patients or HCWs and type of contact, working in more than one wards and escorting patients to other wards), as well as questions regarding the implementation of control measures (HCW absence from work due to gastroenteritis, contact with patients while being symptomatic). Contact was defined as a) direct contact with a symptomatic person (nursing care, clinical examination), b) contact with patients’ vomitus or diarrhoea, or c) contact with patient’s inanimate environment (e.g. sheets, blankets).

Cases were asked about possible risk factors up to 48 hours before symptoms onset and controls about risk factors up to 48 hours before the interview. Data were collected through personal interviews. Finally, the duration of the outbreak was calculated as the number of days from the onset of the first case to the onset of the last case.

Microbiological investigation

It was requested specimens to be sent to the Microbiology Laboratory of the University of Athens for virological testing (norovirus, rotavirus, adenovirus).

Statistical analysis

Stata 11.0 software (STATA, College Station, Texas, USA) was used for data analysis. Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Associations between categorical and quantitative variables were tested by Student’s t-test (for normally distributed variables) or Mann-Whitney test (for skewed variables). The multivariable analysis was performed by multiple logistic regression using backwards elimination. Variables statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$ were included. An association was considered as statistically significant when $p \leq 0.05$.

Ethical considerations

According to the Greek legislation, HCDCP is the competent authority for diseases’ surveillance and outbreak investigation. The study was approved by the hospital’s Ethics Committee and informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was performed in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and all the necessary measures to protect the confidentiality of personal data were taken.

Results

Epidemiological investigation and containment measures

In total, 63 gastroenteritis cases were identified; 30 HCWs and 33 patients. Five of them did not fulfill the criteria of the case definition, nine had been discharged and two had died due to their underlying disease and after recovery from gastroenteritis symptoms. Finally, 19 patients and 28 HCWs (13 physicians, 13 nurses and two paramedics) were included in the analysis.

The number of cases by date of symptoms onset among inpatients and HCWs is depicted in Figure 1. The first three recorded cases concerned hospitalized patients without an apparent epidemiological link. Ill patients...
came from the five wards of the same building, while the 28 ill HCWs were occupied in 13 different wards. The temporal distribution of cases by ward did not depict any specific transmission pattern. The total duration of the outbreak was 22 days. The shape of the epidemic curve indicates a person-to-person transmission. The attack rate among patients and HCWs was 15.0% and 16.9%, respectively. Attack rates by ward ranged from 3.4% to 18.5% for patients and from 6.3% to 42.9% for HCWs.

Diarrhoea was the prevalent symptom, reported by >80% of the cases in both groups, followed by vomiting, reported by 47.4% of patients and 67.9% of HCWs. The median duration of symptoms was 2 days (range: 1-7 days) among patients and 2 days (range: 1-4 days) among HCWs.

The results of the univariate analyses are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Among HCWs, a history of direct contact with a symptomatic patient up to 48 hours before their symptoms’ onset was associated with an increased risk for gastroenteritis (OR=3.03, 95%CI 1.01-9.12); no other factor was associated with the disease occurrence or considered to be a confounder of the observed association, so multivariable analysis was not performed for HCWs. According to the results of the multivariable analysis of case-control study A, the incidence of gastroenteritis among inpatients was statistically significantly associated with exposure to a roommate’s vomitus (OR=7.96, 95% CI=1.29-49.2).

Furthermore, in terms of infection control measures,

Table 1: Results of univariate analysis, patient cases and controls, Hospital X, Greece, January-February 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor*</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>OR††</th>
<th>95% CI††</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>n/N</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>n/N</td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7/19</td>
<td>(36.8%)</td>
<td>10/33</td>
<td>(30.3%)</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to a roommate’s vomitus</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>(37.5%)</td>
<td>2/32</td>
<td>(6.3%)</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to a roommate’s diarrhoea</td>
<td>6/16</td>
<td>(37.5%)</td>
<td>5/32</td>
<td>(15.6%)</td>
<td>3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory patient</td>
<td>12/18</td>
<td>(66.7%)</td>
<td>28/33</td>
<td>(84.8%)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient transfer for tests</td>
<td>9/18</td>
<td>(50.0%)</td>
<td>21/33</td>
<td>(63.6%)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit from ill person/s</td>
<td>1/18</td>
<td>(5.6%)</td>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>(3.0%)</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill person/s among friends / relatives</td>
<td>6/18</td>
<td>(33.3%)</td>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>(3.0%)</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: questions referred to the 48 hours before the symptoms’ onset (cases) / the interview (control), †N: number of participants for which an answer was available, ††OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval.
21 (75%) symptomatic HCWs reported being absent from work for a median of 2 days (range: 1-4 days) while seven (25.0%) continued to work and having direct contact with hospitalized patients despite being symptomatic. Only, 11.1% of patients reported that they had been transferred to a different room (isolated/cohorted) after developing symptoms.

Microbiological testing
One stool specimen from a hospitalized patient with gastroenteritis was collected and sent for testing. The specimen was tested positive for norovirus by real-time PCR; further typing was not carried out.

Discussion
A nosocomial viral gastroenteritis outbreak in one of the largest Greek hospitals was notified in January 2012. The duration of the outbreak was 22 days, shorter than the estimated mean of 32.5 days (range: 8-120) reported in a recent systematic review on norovirus nosocomial outbreaks. Attack rates among patients and HCWs were similar with those reported by others. However, we believe that not all cases were identified in the absence of a recording system, and that early cases may have been missed. Identification of hospitalized cases was challenging because of the disperse distribution of buildings, the high frequency of incontinence among patients or other causes of gastrointestinal symptoms, such as antibiotic-associated diarrhoea or vomiting due to an underlying condition. This may explain why the chain of transmission between wards could not be accurately documented and identification of the index case was not possible.

The results of the outbreak investigation indicated person-to-person and airborne transmission which is the most frequently recognized pattern in nosocomial viral gastroenteritis outbreaks. Aerosolized vomit can result in widespread environmental contamination and may be inhaled and swallowed. It has been estimated that a single incident of vomiting may generate 300,000-3,000,000 infectious doses, in case of norovirus. In this outbreak, the index case was probably a patient or a HCW infected in the community, as norovirus circulation the same period was high.

Results of the analytical studies showed that control measures were not fully implemented. However, the number of new cases gradually decreased and complete closing of wards was not required. To our knowledge, this was the first time that an analytical epidemiological study was conducted for a gastroenteritis nosocomial outbreak in Greece, even though this is not the first one recognized.

Early identification of the outbreak was impaired by the complex architecture of the hospital and the lack of a standard recording system. The delay in the identification of the outbreak could partly explain the failure in the verification of the aetiological agent. Insufficient laboratory investigation constitutes a limitation in the current study. Delayed reporting of such outbreaks appears to be common according to the literature. Specific recommendations were given for the establishment of an internal syndromic surveillance system that will allow early identification of nosocomial cases based on symptoms (syndromic surveillance) and implementation of control measures. HCWs should be trained to suspect an outbreak of viral gastroenteritis when two or more patients and/or staff develop a new onset of vomiting and diarrhoea within one to two days.

In conclusion, an appropriately designed protocol regarding the prompt detection and management of nosocomial gastroenteritis outbreaks, prompt implementation of infection control measures and epidemiological and laboratory investigation is needed in order early containment to be ensured. Capacity for virological testing should be increased at the hospital level, and a reference laboratory could take on further typing of viruses.

Table 2: Results of univariate analysis, health care workers and controls, Hospital X, Greece, January-February 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>OR†</th>
<th>95% CI†</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>19/28 (67.9%)</td>
<td>19/30 (63.3%)</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.41-3.62</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting other wards</td>
<td>12/28 (42.9%)</td>
<td>14/30 (46.7%)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.30-2.42</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escorting patients to other wards</td>
<td>4/28 (14.3%)</td>
<td>5/30 (16.7%)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.20-3.48</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct contact with cases (before the onset of symptoms)</td>
<td>15/28 (53.6%)</td>
<td>8/29 (27.6%)</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.01-9.12</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact with vomitus or diarrhoea</td>
<td>3/28 (10.7%)</td>
<td>1/29 (3.4%)</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.33-34.4</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct contact with patients' inanimate environment</td>
<td>11/23 (47.8%)</td>
<td>9/28 (32.1%)</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.62-6.05</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* N: number of participants for which an answer was available, †OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval.
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