Nutrition parameters as hemodialysis adequacy markers
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Abstract

Background and aim: The nutritive status has a significant role in improving the quality of life of dialysis patients. The aim of this study was to find out if there is any correlation of the anthropometric parameters and markers of nutrition with the adequacy of HD.

Methods: The investigation was organized as a clinical, cross-sectional study. Demographic characteristics, co-morbid conditions, smoking, dialysis duration and blood pressure were recorded. Serum total protein, albumin, ferritin and blood-lipids were measured as biochemical markers of nutritional status.

One hundred and forty patients, 82 (58.6%) male, and 58 (41.4%) female, 55±12.59 years, were divided into two groups. Group A consisted of 44 patients (14 women and 30 men) who received the recommended hemodialysis dose (Kt/V ≥ 1.2), while the Group B consisted of 96 patients (69 males and 27 females) who received non-adequate hemodialysis dose (Kt/V < 1.2).

Results: Patients with adequate hemodialysis had been longer on dialysis in correlation with the group of patients with non-adequate hemodialysis (73 ± 56.4 vs. 44 ± 50.1 months; p: 0.004). Group A and group B presented significant differences in the number of leukocytes (p: 0.027), and hemoglobin (p: 0.047), potassium (p: 0.038) and C-reactive protein level (p: 0.048) as well as in serum total protein (69 ± 4.63 vs. 65 ± 5.74 g/L; p < 0.0001) and albumin (38 ± 2.99 vs. 29 ± 4.4 g/L; p: 0.047). Pearson’s correlation of factors that may have impact on hemodialysis adequacy indicated a significant relation between serum total protein and the index of hemodialysis adequacy (r: 0.21; p: 0.0446).

Conclusions: All investigated anthropometric parameters and protein status showed significantly higher values in patients with adequate hemodialysis quality (Group A). The Group B showed higher levels of CRP and lower values of hemoglobin. Hippokratia 2010; 14 (3): 187-191
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Regardless of the obvious technological progress in the development of dialysis procedures, the adequacy of hemodialysis (HD) and nourishment are important determinants of the quality of life and have a direct impact on morbidity and mortality of patients who are being treated with chronic HD1,2. It is estimated that improvement of nutrition might postpone the progression and lessen expected complications in patients who suffer from severe renal insufficiency3. The concept of quality, adequacy or appropriateness of HD, which were introduced in the 1970s, implies dialysis which enables patients to have a normal quality of life, as well as solid clinical tolerance with minimal problems during the dialysis and inter-dialysis periods. The most widely accepted model for objective quantification of HD efficiency is the kinetic model of urea (Kt/V). This defines all necessary parameters of dialysis, keeping in mind the high levels of protein catabolism and elimination of urea4,5.

The aim of this study was to assess the impact of anthropometric and biochemical parameters of nutrition on the adequacy of hemodialysis.

Methods

Study design and patients

The research was conducted in the Center for Nephrology and Dialysis, at the “Kragujevac” Clinical Center as a clinical study involving patients who had been treated for at least 3 months with chronic HD. There were 140 patients, 82 males (58.6%) and 58 (41.4%) females, of average age 55 ± 12.59 years. They were divided into two groups. Group A consisted of 44 patients (14 women and 30 men) who received the recommended hemodialysis dose (Kt/V ≥ 1.2), while the Group B consisted of 96 patients (69 males and 27 females) who received non-adequate hemodialysis dose (Kt/V < 1.2).

Laboratory and clinical analyses

The basic patient characteristics were determined on the basis of the adequacy of hemodialysis, by calculating the kinetic model of urea using the Daurgirdas formula (Kt/V). Adequate HD, according to the National Kidney Foundation-Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality...
Initiative (NKF-K/DOQI) recommendations, is dialy-
sis that ensures a Kt/V index ≥ 1.2 for time average
concentration of urea (TAC) less than 18 mmol/L and
a protein catabolic rate (PCR) index of 1.1 – 1.3 g/kg
body weight/day, with a daily protein intake of 1.0 g/kg
body weight/day. Patients who had Kt/V values more
than 1.2 were considered to have adequate HD. Patients
who had Kt/V values lower than 1.2 were below the rec-
ommended level of adequacy. Regarding clinical char-
acteristics, the demographic structure was determined,
cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus and throm-
bosis of deep veins were registered and the time spent
on hemodialysis, the cigarette smoking and the arterial
blood pressure were recorded. Regarding biochemical
markers of nutrition, we measured the concentrations of
serum total protein, albumin, ferritin, and blood lipids2-
4, as well as a set of routine laboratory analyses. Blood
samples for biochemical tests were taken mid-week
before the HD session. A COULTER apparatus, using
the flow cytometric method was used for hematologi-
cal analysis, while the biochemical analyses were made
spectrophotometrically on a Llab-600 apparatus. Most
of our patients were dialyzed three times a week, with
standard bicarbonate solution for 3.5 – 4 hours, using
commercially available dialyzers, with a blood pump
flow ranging from 200 to 280 ml/min and a 500 ml/min
fluid flow of dialysis liquid. The examined patients
were divided into two groups: group 1 who had the recom-
manded index of HD adequacy (Kt/V ≥ 1.2) and group
2 who had a Kt/V index lower than 1.2.

Statistical analysis
The values of all parameters are given as mean ± SD.
The χ² test and t-test were used for testing hypotheses.

| Table 1: Demographic, anthropometric and clinical characteristics of the examined patients. |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Parameters                          | Patients with adequate HD | Patients with non-adequate HD | P    |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Age (years)                            | 54 ± 13.7                              | 55 ± 13.2                   | NS   |
| Gender (M/F)                           | 14/30                                   | 69/27                       | 0.0002 |
| Co-morbid factors (yes/no)             |                                        |                             |      |
| Cardiovascular diseases                | 14/30                                   | 36/60                       | NS   |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 4/40                                    | 10/86                       | NS   |
| Thrombosis of deep veins               | 6/36                                    | 12/84                       | NS   |
| Duration of dialysis (months)          | 73 ± 56.4                               | 44 ± 50.1                   | 0.004*|
| Smoking (yes/no)                       | 7/22                                    | 12/52                       | NS   |
| SAP (mmHg)                             | 130 ± 20.7                              | 130 ± 24.8                  | NS   |
| DAP (mmHg)                             | 80 ± 8.6                                | 80 ± 11.1                   | NS   |

SAP: Systolic arterial pressure; DAP: Diastolic arterial pressure.
Continuous variables are presented as means ± SD. Comparisons between the patients with adequate hemodialysis and the group of patients with non-adequate hemodialysis were analyzed using the Student t test and χ² test. Differences were considered statistically significant for p< 0.05.
Regarding total serum protein (69 ± 4.63 vs. 65 ± 5.74 g/L; p = 0.02) and albumin (38 ± 2.99 vs. 29 ± 4.4 g/L; p = 0.047), there were also significant differences between the groups of patients favoring those with an adequate index of HD (Figure 1).

Pearson’s correlation for variables that might have had an impact on adequacy of HD (age, duration of dialysis, blood lipids, albumin, ferritin, C-reactive protein) did not indicate any associations (Table 3). However, the correlation of serum protein concentration, as a biochemical marker of nutritive status with the adequacy of HD was positive (r = 0.21; p = 0.0446) (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Since the time when Quinan (1826) and Christison (1829) substantiated that an increased concentration of urea characterizes patients who suffer from kidney insufficiency, and up to the time when HD was introduced as a method of treatment, there have been attempts to quantify the implemented therapeutic procedure. Dialysis is an adequate medical treatment if it enables patients to achieve full rehabilitation. That includes satisfactory nutritional intake, normalization of hypertension and correction of anemia, as well as lack of symptoms of uremic neuropathy. In the early seventies of the last century, Gotch and Sargent and later on Daugirdas and Schnedtiz quantified the dialysis dose through a formula, based on a mathematical model and taking into account objective laboratory parameters. The K-DOQI recommends that the Kt/V value should not be lower than 1.2. In our study, one third of the patients had the recommended HD level. Causes of malnutrition might be sought in the very nature of the kidney disease, in some adjunct co-morbid disease,
lack of appetite, inappropriate diet, low dose of dialysis, deficits of glucose and amino acids during HD, acidosis and the occurrence of chronic infections. By improving the effects of dialysis, removing uremic toxins and increasing the HD adequacy index, we may stimulate appetite and thus contribute to enhancing the intake of nutritious elements. Nutritive status is estimated on the basis of biochemical parameters, but the reference values are arguable, because all available evidence indicates that there is no consensus about the normal state.

Yang et al. confirmed that an increase of protein level improves the Kt/V index as these two parameters were significantly correlated and are important for the quality of life of HD patients. Increased synthesis of ferritin and C-reactive protein occurs as a response of the body to acute inflammation. Increased risk of cardiovascular mortality characterizes dialysis patients, particularly those with a low level of dialysis depuration. Our results showed that the number of leukocytes and level of C-reactive protein were significantly increased in patients who received inadequate hemodialysis. This might be a consequence of an unfavorable nutritive balance and also be a cause of increased mortality risk.

The serum protein concentration, which is used routinely as a marker of nutritive status, is the result of synthesis, catabolism and volume re-distribution, as well as transcapillary exchange loss. Our patients with the recommended index of HD adequacy had significantly higher serum concentrations of total protein and albumin and we established that there was a positive association between these parameters. The nutritional status of HD patients, independently from the dialysis prescription, has a great impact on morbidity and mortality. The recommendations for protein intake of dialysis patients are not clear, although the NKF-DOQI recommends a minimum of 1.2 g/kg/day, because a hyperprotein diet might have negative repercussions on their health, as a significant source of uremic toxins, phosphates and hydrogen ions. Therefore, it is necessary to increase the dose of dialysis in order to compensate for the possibility of uremic intoxication, hyperphosphatemia and metabolic acidosis.

Providing sufficient nutritional input micronutrients, protein and energy matter is the right measure of a good diet regime in patients on HD. Improvement of the quality of life of HD patients with an adequate Kt/V index, as indicated by the hemoglobin concentration and homeostatic regulation of pre-dialysis potassium, was confirmed in our research. Namely, the anemic syndrome and electrolytic regulation were significantly better in patients whose Kt/V index indicated adequate dialysis.

Despite certain limitations, the biochemical parameters of nutrition and anthropometric characteristics of the patients in our study represent significant factors for evaluation of HD adequacy.
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Table 3: Correlation adequacy of hemodialysis and factors which might have an impact on the quality of dialysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of dialysis (months)</td>
<td>0.00346</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/L)</td>
<td>-0.0544</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin μg/L</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (mg/L)</td>
<td>-0.174</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pearson’s correlation for parameters of the variables did not indicate statistically significant differences.
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Figure 2: The correlation between serum protein concentration and adequacy of HD (Kt/V index ranging from 0.5 to 3) was positive (r=0.21; p=0.0446).